



All India Council for Technical Education
(A Statutory body under Ministry of HRD, Govt. of India)

7th Floor, Chandralok Building, Janpath, New Delhi- 110 001
PHONE: 23724151/52/53/54/55/56/57 FAX: 011-23724183 www.aicte-india.org

F.No. Western/1-2866116070/2016/EOA

Date: 30-Apr-2016

To,

The Secretary,
Tech. & Higher Education Deptt.
Govt. of Maharashtra, Mantralaya,
Annexe Building, Mumbai-400032

Sub: Extension of approval for the academic year 2016-17

Ref: Application of the Institution for Extension of approval for the academic year 2016-17

Sir/Madam,

In terms of the provisions under the All India Council for Technical Education (Grant of Approvals for Technical Institutions) Regulations 2012 notified by the Council vide notification number F-No.37-3/Legal/2012 dated 27/09/2012 and norms standards, procedures and conditions prescribed by the Council from time to time, I am directed to convey the approval to

Regional Office	Western	Application Id	1-2866116070
Name of the Institute	SIES GRADUATE SCHOOL OF TECHNOLOGY	Permanent Id	1-2933231
Name of the Society/Trust	THE SOUTH INDIAN EDUCATION SOCIETY	Institute Address	SRI CHANDRASEKARENDRA SARASWATHY VIDYAPURAM, SECTOR-V, NERUL, NAVI MUMBAI, THANE, Maharashtra, 400706
Institute Type	Unaided - Private	Society/Trust Address	K.A.SUBRAMANIAM ROAD, MATUNGA, MUMBAI,MUMBAI,MUMBAI CITY,Maharashtra,400019

Opted for change from Women to Co-ed and Vice versa	No	Opted for change of name	No	Opted for change of site	No
Change from Women to Co-ed approved and Vice versa	Not Applicable	Change of name Approved	Not Applicable	Change of site Approved	Not Applicable

To conduct following courses with the intake indicated below for the academic year 2016-17

Application Id: 1-2866116070			Course	Full/Part Time	Affiliating Body	Intake Approved for 2016-17	NPI Approval status	PIO / FN / Gulf quota Approval status	Foreign Collaborator/Twinning Program Approval status
Program	Shift	Level							
ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY	1st Shift	UNDERGRADUATE	COMPUTER ENGINEERING	FULL TIME	Mumbai University, Mumbai	75	NA	No	N

Application Number: 1-2866116070

Note: This is a Computer generated Report.No signature is required.

Page 1 of 3

Letter Printed On:2 May 2016

Printed By : AE861301



All India Council for Technical Education
(A Statutory body under Ministry of HRD, Govt. of India)

7th Floor, Chandralok Building, Janpath, New Delhi- 110 001
PHONE: 23724151/52/53/54/55/56/57 FAX: 011-23724188 www.aicte-India.org

ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY	1st Shift	UNDERGRADUATE	ELECTRONICS & TELE-COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING	FULL TIME	Mumbai University, Mumbai	102	NA	No	N
ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY	1st Shift	UNDERGRADUATE	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	FULL TIME	Mumbai University, Mumbai	51	NA	No	N
ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY	1st Shift	UNDERGRADUATE	MECHANICAL ENGINEERING	FULL TIME	Mumbai University, Mumbai	51	NA	No	N
ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY	1st Shift	UNDERGRADUATE	PRINTING AND PACKING TECHNOLOGY	FULL TIME	Mumbai University, Mumbai	51	NA	No	N

The above mentioned approval is subject to the condition that SIES GRADUATE SCHOOL OF TECHNOLOGY shall follow and adhere to the Regulations, guidelines and directions issued by AICTE from time to time and the undertaking / affidavit given by the institution along with the application submitted by the institution on portal.

Course(s) Applied for Closure by the Institute for the AY 2016-17:

Application Id: 1-2866116070			Name of the Course	Full/Part Time	Affiliating Body	Course Closure Status
Program	Shift	Level				
ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY	1st Shift	UNDERGRADUATE	BIOTECHNOLOGY : (Last Approved Intake)	FULL TIME	Mumbai University, Mumbai	Pending [§]

§ due to non submission of NOC's from University / Board and / or State Government

In case of any differences in content in this Computer generated Extension of Approval Letter, the content/information as approved by the Executive Council / General Council as available on the record of AICTE shall be final and binding.

Strict compliance of Anti-Ragging Regulation:- Approval is subject to strict compliance of provisions made in AICTE Regulation notified vide F. No. 37-3/Legal/AICTE/2009 dated July 1, 2009 for Prevention and Prohibition of Ragging in Technical Institutions. In case Institution fails to take adequate steps to Prevent Ragging or fails to act in accordance with AICTE Regulation or fails to punish perpetrators or incidents of Ragging, it will be liable to take any action as defined under clause 9(4) of the said Regulation.

Note: Validity of the course details may be verified at www.aicte-India.org.

Prof. Alok Prakash Mittal

Application Number: 1-2866116070

Note: This is a Computer generated Report.No signature is required.
Printed By : AE861301

Page 2 of 3
Letter Printed On:2 May 2016



All India Council for Technical Education
(A Statutory body under Ministry of HRD, Govt. of India)

7th Floor, Chandralok Building, Janpath, New Delhi- 110 001
PHONE: 23724151/52/53/54/55/56/57 FAX: 011-23724183 www.aicte-India.org

Member Secretary, AICTE

Copy to:

1. **The Regional Officer,**
All India Council for Technical Education
Industrial Assurance Building
2nd Floor, Nariman Road
Mumbai - 400 020, Maharashtra
2. **The Director Of Technical Education,**
Maharashtra
3. **The Registrar,**
Mumbai University, Mumbai
4. **The Principal / Director,**
SIES GRADUATE SCHOOL OF TECHNOLOGY
SRI CHANDRASEKARENDRA SARASWATHY VIDYAPURAM, SECTOR-V, NERUL, NAVI MUMBAI,
NAVI MUMBAI, THANE,
Maharashtra, 400706
5. **The Secretary / Chairman,**
THE SOUTH INDIAN EDUCATION SOCIETY
K.A. SUBRAMANIAM ROAD, MATUNGA, MUMBAI,
MUMBAI, MUMBAI CITY,
Maharashtra, 400019
6. **Guard File(AICTE)**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 8029 OF 2016**

The South Indian Education Society and anr.
versus
All India Council for Technical Education (AICTE)
and ors.

...Petitioners

...Respondent.

Mr. S. C. Naidu i/b. Ms. Nandini Govind Menon, advocate for the
petitioners.
Ms. Meena Doshi, advocate for respondent No.1.
Mr. P. P. Kakde, AGP for the State.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATE : 20th JULY, 2016.

P. C. :

Heard Mr. Naidu, learned counsel for the petitioners, Ms.
Doshi, learned counsel for respondent No.1 and Mr. Kakde, AGP for the
State.

2. Arguable points are raised. Therefore, Rule.

3. By the impugned order, respondent Nos.1 and 2 have
reduced the intake capacity of the five courses run by petitioner No.2 by
15%. The intake capacity is reduced mainly on the ground that the

Shubhada S Kadam

1/4

petitioner-institution has not submitted the occupancy certificate. The impugned order discloses that the total built up area with the petitioner-institution is 29632.20 sq.mtrs., out of which, occupancy certificate is available to area of 21634.21 sq.mtrs. Thus, an area of 7998.00 sq. mtrs. has no occupancy certificate.

4. At this stage, it is worth to mention that the Expert Visiting Committee (EVC) visited petitioner No.2-institution on 21st March, 2016, and found seven deficiencies. However, they did not refer to the deficiency about the occupancy certificate. The petitioners thereafter gave reply on 16th April, 2016 and the Standing Complaint Committee thereafter heard the petitioner-institution on 20th April, 2016 and found that all the deficiencies are complied with. However, the intake capacity of the courses run by the petitioner No.2 is reduced by 15% by respondent Nos. 1 and 2 on the ground of deficiency of the occupancy certificate as stated above. In respect of the management college run by petitioner No.1, respondent Nos.1 and 2 also reduced the intake capacity by 15% on the ground of non-submission of the occupancy certificate by the petitioner-institution and, thereafter, petitioner No.1 and the said management college filed a petition being writ petition No.6425 of 2016. We have admitted that petition by an order dated 27th June, 2016 and granted interim relief subject to the petitioners filing an

Shubhada S Kadam

2/4

undertaking that the deficiencies would be removed within the stipulated time. The facts of the present petition and writ petition No.6425 of 2016 are more or less similar.

5. Mr. Naidu, learned counsel for the petitioners stated that the petitioners are ready and willing to give an undertaking to this Court that the deficiencies, if any, shall be removed within a period of six months. He also stated that he will file an undertaking to that effect within a period of one week from today.

6. Needless to say that respondent Nos. 1 and 2 are always at liberty to inspect whether the deficiencies are removed or not.

7. In the above circumstances, we grant interim relief in terms of prayer clause (b) and (c) subject to following:

(i) The petitioners filing an undertaking in this Court within a period of one week from today that the deficiencies, if any, shall be removed within a period of six months from the date of filing of the undertaking.

(ii) In the event of failure on the part of the petitioners to file the undertaking as stated above within the stipulated time or not complying with the undertaking, the interim order shall stand vacated and the petition shall stand dismissed automatically.

(iii) Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 are directed to pass consequential orders.

8. It is made clear that the interim order is subject to the final order that may be passed in this petition.

9. All concerned to act upon a copy of this order duly authenticated by the Registry of this Court.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)

[RANJIT MORE, J.]